



2025 CLARK COUNTY COUNCIL SCORECARD

Introduction

Future 42 Clark County is a local county project dedicated to advocating for key issues such as public safety, housing affordability, and tax relief. We are a grassroots effort mobilizing Clark County residents to make their voices heard at the local level. We have recently completed our first set of local scorecards highlighting key votes taken by councilmembers in 2025. Here is our recently published scorecard for the Clark County Council.

In 2025, the Clark County Council took major actions on housing, taxes, land use, and regional transit, including approving a five-year “housing-first” plan to address homelessness, raising property taxes, funding an agricultural lands study, supporting light rail despite prior voter rejection, and a vote that triggered an Open Public Meetings Act investigation.

Clark County Council

HOMELESS HOUSING PLAN (2025-2030):

The Council unanimously approved a five-year "housing-first" plan that prioritizes providing housing before treatment, accountability, or work requirements. Opponents argue that housing-first rewards homelessness, reduces incentives for self-sufficiency, and commits taxpayers to expensive supportive services with weak performance metrics, increasing the risk of more encampments and public safety problems.

This measure passed 5-0.

Glen Yung- *Against P42 Position*

Michelle Belkot- *Against P42 Position*

Wil Fuentes- *Against P42 Position*

Matt Little- *Against P42 Position*

Sue Marshall- *Against P42 Position*

[Clark County Council approves new 5-year homeless plan - The Columbian](#)

PROPERTY TAX INCREASE FOR 2026 BUDGET:

The Council approved property tax increases by using banked capacity across multiple levies, including the General Fund (sheriff, jail, courts, and administration), Road Fund, Conservation Futures, and the Greater Clark County Parks District. The action increased property tax collections to support a range of government services and programs. Supporters describe the move as a way to maintain funding for core services and infrastructure, while critics characterize it as a broad tax increase on homeowners that does not sufficiently address spending efficiency or longer-term budget structure. They argue it raises costs without corresponding spending restraint or accountability measures.

This measure passed 4-1.

Glen Yung- *Against P42 Position*

Michelle Belkot- *In Favor of P42 Position*

Wil Fuentes- *Against P42 Position*

Matt Little- *Against P42 Position*

Sue Marshall- *Against P42 Position*

[Property taxes to help cover Clark County's growing budget needs in 2026 - The Columbian](#)

BELKOT C-TRAN BOARD REMOVAL AND OPMA INVESTIGATION:

The Council voted to remove Councilor Michelle Belkot from her C-TRAN Board seat after she indicated she might oppose the Council majority's preferred position on light rail and regional transit. A subsequent investigation found that the Council and County Manager violated the Open Public Meetings Act and county charter procedures by acting without proper public notice or opportunity for comment. Critics argue the episode reflects an ethical lapse by the majority, using procedural authority to penalize dissent and replace an independent voice with one more aligned with its position, raising concerns about transparency and public trust.

This measure passed 3-2.

Glen Yung- *Against P42 Position*

Michelle Belkot- *In Favor of P42 Position*

Wil Fuentes- *Against P42 Position*

Matt Little- *In Favor of P42 Position*

Sue Marshall- *Against P42 Position*

[Investigation faults county council, manager for decision to remove Belkot from C-Tran board - The Columbian](#)

Clark County Council

CLARK COUNTY GROWTH & FARMLAND DEBATE:

The county's land-use debate highlighted a divide between leaders who support addressing housing demand through potential urban growth expansion and others who favor maintaining tighter development boundaries. Cities such as Ridgefield advocated for expanding urban growth areas to accommodate projected housing and job needs, while other stakeholders opposed expansion and emphasized farmland preservation and managed growth. The Council approved a \$155,000 agricultural lands study despite that opposition. Supporters said the study would provide data to inform future land-use decisions, while critics contended it could be used to justify additional development and boundary changes.

This measure passed 3-2.

Glen Yung- *In Favor of P42 Position*

Michelle Belkot- *In Favor of P42 Position*

Wil Fuentes- *Against P42 Position*

Matt Little- *In Favor of P42 Position*

Sue Marshall- *Against P42 Position*

[Clark County leaders debate future growth and how to balance saving farmland with building housing - OPB](#)

[Clark County Council OKs \\$155,000 contract for agricultural resource lands study - The Columbian](#)

[Clark County, Ridgefield officials at odds over growth plans in balance of housing, jobs - The Columbian](#)

LIGHT RAIL FUNDING POLICY:

The Council adopted a policy urging Clark County to support light rail funding as part of the Interstate Bridge Replacement project. The decision followed prior ballot measures in which related regional transit proposals were rejected by voters. Supporters said participation would strengthen regional transit connections and project coordination, while opponents pointed to cost uncertainty, ridership trends, and the potential for long-term operating obligations for Clark County. They argue that voting against the measure reflects a focus on fiscal caution and alignment with prior voter sentiment.

This measure passed 4-1.

Glen Yung- *Against P42 Position*

Michelle Belkot- *In Favor of P42 Position*

Wil Fuentes- *Against P42 Position*

Matt Little- *Against P42 Position*

Sue Marshall- *Against P42 Position*

[Letter: Upcoming meetings will help 'shape the future' of Clark County - ClarkCountyToday.com](#)

2025 Clark County Council Scorecard

COUNCILMEMBER	TERM ENDS	HOMELESS HOUSING FIRST PLAN	PROPERTY TAX INCREASES IN 2026 BUDGET	BELKOT C-TRAN BOARD REMOVAL AND OPMA INVESTIGATION	CLARK COUNTY GROWTH AND FARMLAND	LIGHT RAIL FUNDING POLICY
GLEN YUNG	2026	Against	Against	Against	In Favor	Against
MICHELLE BELKOT	2026	Against	In Favor	In Favor	In Favor	In Favor
WIL FUENTES	2028	Against	Against	Against	Against	Against
MATT LITTLE	2028	Against	Against	In Favor	In Favor	Against
SUE MARSHALL	2026	Against	Against	Against	Against	Against

- Against Future 42 Position
- Aligned with Future 42 Position



Future 42 Clark County is the local chapter of Future 42, the grassroots communications arm of Project 42, a Washington state nonprofit dedicated to advancing free-market principles, personal liberty, economic opportunity, and common-sense policies. Launched in summer 2025 and led by Director Leslie Lewallen, the Clark County chapter works to promote a safer, more affordable, and prosperous community by monitoring government actions, publishing legislative scorecards on key issues like public safety, taxes, and cost of living, advocating for taxpayer-focused reforms (including opposition to the state income tax), recruiting candidates, and engaging residents through events and grassroots action.

It aims to empower citizens to influence policy and make America's 42nd state a place where individuals and businesses can thrive. For more information, visit future42.org/clarkco.